

#### www.sustainabletechnologies.ca



#### Assessing the Feasibility of Communal Low Impact Development on Private Property

Presented by: Shannon Malloy

Date: May 19, 2022









#### Outline

#### **Building the Case of Communal Stormwater Management**

Stormwater challenges, low impact development, Stormwater infrastructure on private property

#### **Communal Retrofit Stormwater Study**

Technical and financial feasibility assessment of communal stormwater retrofits on private property

#### **Application of Study and Next Steps**

Synergies with municipalities and province







#### **Overview**

STEP is a multi-agency initiative developed to support broader implementation of sustainable technologies and practices within a Canadian context.

The water component of STEP is a conservation authority collaborative. Current partners are:







#### Our key areas of focus are:

- Low Impact Development
- Erosion and Sediment Control
- Road Salt Management
- Natural Features Restoration

# **Development Alters Natural Hydrology**









# **Post Development Stormwater Challenges**





#### Stormwater control targets cannot be achieved through end of pipe controls alone

#### Low Impact Development (LID)

A green infrastructure stormwater management approach to filter, store and infiltrate rain where it falls



### **Challenges with LID Infrastructure on Private Property**

**Private Property Owner Challenges** 

• Cost

 Pay back period for SWM retrofits is poor, even with stormwater credit programs

• Lack of process





# **Aggregation of Private Properties and Anticipated Benefits**

- Economies of scale
  - One designer
  - One contractor
  - One maintenance contractor
- Maximize performance
- Maximize benefits (stormwater, water, water, wastewater, energy)

# However, is there an implementation framework?





# **The Drainage Act**

- A solution to drainage issues that occur on private and public property in Ontario.
- Key elements of drainage systems
  - Communal Infrastructure
  - $\circ$  Legal Existence
  - User-pay Framework
  - Municipality Manages Infrastructure





# **Communal LID Retrofit Feasibility Study**

This project is exploring the technical and financial feasibility of implementing communal LID stormwater management systems on private property





ERATION FÉDÉRATION CANADIAN CANADIENNE DES RICIPALITIES MUNICIPALITÉS





#### **Study Area – Sheridan Creek Watershed**





# **CVC Study Area**

250



125

500 Meters



#### Legacy developments with no stormwater management











#### **Stormwater Management Scenarios**

- Pre-development
- Existing conditions
- Communal LID Stormwater credit
- Communal LID One water
- End-of-pipe



# **Technical Assessment**



#### **Existing Condition Characterization**

- Previous studies, complementary initiatives, environmental concerns
- Landowner property information
- GIS land cover analysis





# **Topographic Survey**

- Sanitary and storm sewer pipe network
- 5000 ground elevation points
- Used to define major and minor system sub catchments





#### **GIS Analysis – Minor System Subatchments**



#### **GIS Analysis – Major System Subcatchments**



#### **GIS Analysis – Major + Minor System**



#### Legend

Southdown Project Area





150 300 Meters

#### **Major and Minor System – Branch F**





www.sustainabletechnologies.ca

Southdown Project Area: Branch F

#### Wastewater - Inflow of Stormwater to Sanitary Sewer

Inflow – stormwater that enters the sanitary sewer through direct connections from manhole lids, downspouts and foundation drains.



# **Sanitary Inflow Investigations**

Two main investigations to identify inflow

- 1. Maintenance hole investigations
- 2. Pipe connection investigations







#### Water Conservation Investigations

Demand

- Irrigation
- Washing transport vehicles
- Toilet Flushing
- Cooling towers





# Developed PCSWMM 1D-2D hydrologic and hydraulic model to represent study area

#### **Rainfall Pattern Selection**

- 3-hour Chicago Design storms: Based on City of Mississauga's Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) rainfall curves for 2, 5, 10, 25, 50, and 100-year return periods
- Continuous Simulation for representative water year
- Climate change impacts

#### **Parameters Modeled**

- Peak Flow
- Water Quality (TSS & TP)
- Water Balance (Infiltration, Runoff)
- Sanitary sewer inflows contributed by stormwater sources





#### **Pre-Development condition Model**

The soil infiltration parameters and percent imperviousness was adjusted to achieve the volumetric runoff coefficient target of 0.25 for the 100-year event.



Study Area - 1954



#### **Conceptual Communal LID Designs**

- Maximum Stormwater Credit Scenario
- 2. One Water Scenario



#### **Stormwater Credit Scenario – Design Criteria**

Category	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	Credit	Maximum Credit	
Peak Flow Reduction	Per cent reduction of the 100-year storm to pre-development conditions	Up to 40%	Up to 50%	
Water Quality Treatment	Per cent of hard surface receiving 80% TSS removal	Up to 10%		
Runoff Volume Reduction	Per cent capture of first 15 mm of rainfall during a single rainfall event	Up to 15%		
Pollution Prevention Plan	Develop and implement a pollution prevention plan.	Up to 5%		

#### **Stormwater Credit Scenario – LID Selection Branch F**



Infiltration trenches under storage chambers

Sanitary MH

#### **Stormwater Credit Scenario Plan**



#### **Conceptual Design – Profile**



BRANCH F

#### **One Water Scenario – LID Selection Branch F**



#### **One Water Scenario – LID Selection**





Bioswale

Underground Storage



Underground Storage with Infiltration Trench



Urban Forest

Rainwater Harvesting

# **Comparing LID Scenarios Against Stormwater Credit Criteria**

Branch	Peak Flow Reduction Post development to pre for 100-year event (40% Credit)		Water Quality 80% TSS Removal (10% Credit)		Runoff Volume Reduction First 15 mm (15% Credit)		<b>Total Score</b> (50% Maximum)	
	Credit Scenario	One Water Scenario	Credit Scenario	One Water Scenario	Credit Scenario	One Water Scenario	Credit Scenario	One Water Scenario
AA-5 (Branch l)	$\checkmark$	$\sim$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	2	5	52	55
AA-6 (Branch G,H)	$\checkmark$	$\sim$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	11	50	61
AA-7 (Branch E,F)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	1	10	51	60
AA-8 (Branch D)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	5	50	55



#### **One Water Scenario – Rainwater Reuse**

- RWH was not included in modeling results
- Water Conservation potential was 5,253 m<sup>3</sup> per year.
- Over 30% of the water reused in these subcatchements was from communal rainwater harvesting





#### **Communal Rainwater Harvesting Example**



# Wastewater – Annual Inflow through maintenance holes

Existing	Stormwater Credit	One Water Scenario		
Conditions	Scenario			
(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m°)		
1600	1570	0		



#### **End of Pipe Scenario**



## **End of Pipe Conceptual Design**





# **Economic Assessment**



#### **Cost Estimates**

#### Low Impact Development Life Cycle Costing Tool (LCCT)



https://sustainabletechnologies.ca/lid-lcct/





## Lifecycle Cost Comparison

Maximum Stormwater Credit Scenario: \$320,000 +/- per ha managed

One Water Scenario: \$500,000 +/- per ha managed \*Not including rainwater harvesting

Pond Scenario: \$400,000 +/- per ha managed



### **Cost Sharing Approach**

- Used the Drainage Act approach to cost sharing user pay framework
- Section 21 to 28 of the Drainage Act specifies to apportion costs to various parties affected by the drain
- A set of tables (called Assessment Schedules) were developed
- The portion of the cost is based on how much each property benefits from the work and uses the drainage system.



#### **Hypothetical Cost Sharing for Communal Systems**



One Water Scenario – \$500,000/ha Municipal cost: \$200,000/ha Landowner and other Partner Cost – \$300,000/ha)





# Application of Study and Next Steps



#### **Aggregation Methodology Guidance**

#### Stage I Pre-Aggregation

Step 1 – Review upper tier studies Step 2 – Develop measurable criteria and prioritize areas Step 3 – Review land uses Step 4 – Review companion/complementary initiatives Step 5 – Conduct consultation

#### Stage II Aggregation Planning

Step 1 – Review priority areas Step 2 – Direct landowner engagement Step 3 – Establish aggregation proponent Step 4 – Assess priority area drainage system Step 5 - Establish preliminary aggregation areas Step 6 – Select preferred aggregation area

#### Stage III Aggregation Design

Step 1 – Preliminary engineering and field work studies
Step 2 – Screen potential LID BMPs
Step 3 – Economic assessment
Step 4 – Landowner engagement
Step 5 – Assess drainage system
Step 6 – Prepare preliminary
design of preferred alternatives
Step 7 – Landowner engagement
Step 8 – Detailed design
Step 9 – Landowner engagement
Step 10 – Final design and tender

#### Stage IV Post-Aggregation

Step 1 – Construction Step 2 – Monitoring Step 3 – Operations and Maintenance

# Why is this important?

- 1. Environmental Assessment Act
- 2. Environmental Compliance Approval
  - 'Legal Instruments'
- 3. ERO Municipal Wastewater and Stormwater Management in Ontario Discussion Paper
  - 3. Changing the Way Stormwater is Managed in Urban Areas Discussion Questions
    - How can greater municipal adoption of green stormwater infrastructure/low impact development practices on public, private and commercial/industrial property be encouraged?



Sustainable Technologies Evaluation Program (STEP)

<u>https://sustainabletechnologies.ca/home/urban-runoff-green-infrastructure/aggregated-communal-approaches-to-gi-implementation/</u>





#### For more information:

#### Contact

Name: Shannon Malloy Email: Shannon.Malloy@CVC.ca

